In sub-Saharan Africa, adolescent girls and young women (AGYW) account for 74% of new HIV infections among people aged 15-24.¹ This is roughly 360,000 AGYW a year – about 1,000 AGYW per day.²

AGYW experience converging social, cultural, economic, and political factors that undermine their sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR),³ which makes them vulnerable to HIV infection. In South Africa alone, about 102,000 new HIV infections occur among AGYW each year.⁴ Innovative and country-specific approaches that holistically address the risk factors of AGYW are critical for effective HIV prevention.⁵

**HIV Prevention for AGYW: Strategies and Approaches that Work**

**WHAT WORKS FOR AGYW**

Because AGYW experience numerous factors that put them at risk of HIV,⁶ prevention efforts must be multi-faceted. Effective interventions implement context-sensitive approaches while encouraging dialogue, collaboration, and partnerships that meet the SRHR needs of AGYW. In high-burden geographical areas, which are areas with a high incidence of HIV, PEPFAR's DREAMS Partnership (Determined, Resilient, Empowered, AIDS-free, and Safe) has successfully worked to prevent new HIV infections while empowering AGYW.⁷ DREAMS uses a core package⁸ of evidence-based approaches to address structural, individual, and community factors that increase HIV risk for AGYW.⁹ Since 2015, 10 African countries (Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe) have implemented DREAMS programming and in 2017, five more (Botswana, Côte d’Ivoire, Haiti, Namibia, and Rwanda) began programming.¹⁰ As of 2017, in all original 10 DREAMS countries, 65 percent of the highest burden districts recorded a 25-40 percent decline in new HIV infections among AGYW.¹¹

Holistic and layered approaches that go beyond medical interventions to implementing evidence-driven initiatives that go beyond medical interventions are most effective. This approach addresses poverty, gender inequality, human rights, access to education, and sexual and physical violence barriers that undermine HIV prevention.

¹ DREAMS (Determined, Resilient, Empowered, AIDS-free, Mentored, and Safe) public-private partnership is a three-year global partnership between the U.S. President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Girl Effect, Johnson & Johnson, ViiV Healthcare and Gilead. It committed over $450 million to reduce new HIV infections in adolescent girls and young women in 10 sub-Saharan African countries. DREAMS programs implement the frameworks and strategies of the DREAMS initiative and have been incorporated into Country Operating Plans (COPs) beyond the original two-year scope of the DREAMS Partnership.
1) **Keeping Girls in School:** Education serves as a protective factor against HIV amongst AGYW and can improve financial security, lessen transactional sex encounters, reduce unplanned pregnancies, and improve overall health. Schooling that integrates school-based HIV and violence prevention interventions has demonstrated success in curbing risk behaviors. One DREAMS implementing organization in Lusaka, Zambia uses local libraries to reach a targeted 20,000 in-school and out-of-school AGYW aged 15-24 years with a mentorship program that fosters self-esteem, empowerment, and resilience.

2) **Comprehensive Sexuality Education (CSE):** CSE is a life-skills and evidence-based education, with a thorough curriculum that covers all aspects of sexuality. CSE provides information on the full breadth of available sexual and reproductive health care options. CSE uptake in HIV prevention strategies may be challenging because of its sensitive nature and heavy politicization. Topics covered include condom promotion and provision, HIV testing and counseling (HTC), pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) counseling and provision for high risk populations, contraceptive mix, factual information on abortion, post-violence care, and abstinence as one of many methods for prevention of STIs and unintended pregnancy. One study reviewed 22 systematic reviews and 77 randomized control trials and showed that comprehensive school-based sexuality education programs increased knowledge on pregnancy, HIV, STIs, risk behaviors and sexuality, and improved attitudes around sexual and reproductive health (SRH). A randomized control study looked at Kenya's national HIV/AIDS curriculum at primary school level, which encouraged abstinence until marriage, and did not discuss interventions like condom use and partner selection as risk reduction strategies. This abstinence-only program was compared to a Relative Risk (RR) information program that focused on improving girls' understanding of the risks associated with intergenerational sex. The study found that the abstinence-only program had no effect on risk reduction, whereas the RR program resulted in a 28 percent decrease in the likelihood of pregnancy within a year. This data, along with qualitative survey responses from the girls about their sexual behavior, suggest that the RR program lead to a decrease in unprotected sex amongst this group.

---

Comprehensive Sexuality Education is defined as "an age-appropriate, culturally relevant approach to teaching about sex and relationships by providing scientifically accurate, realistic, non-judgmental information." The objectives of sexuality education programs may include "to increase knowledge and understanding; to explain and clarify feelings, values and attitudes; to develop or strengthen skills; to promote and sustain risk-reducing behavior." – UNESCO

---
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3) Holistic Adolescent and Youth Friendly Approaches:

- **Health services:** Training health providers to engage with adolescents and youth is essential for young people to access and accept health services targeted for their needs. Médecins Sans Frontières’ (MSF) youth-friendly clinic in Zimbabwe offers an adolescent corner for peer-to-peer mentoring, an area for clients to play pool and chat with trained peer educators about HIV and sexual health issues, and is staffed by providers who do not wear uniforms. Health access points that integrate and link services (one-stop shop) are crucial for AGYW to receive and reinforce prevention messaging and activities. In Lesotho, the organization Phelisanang Bophelong (PB) partnered with Avert in 2015 to work with youth aged 15-24 years by providing HIV testing and referrals, youth group meetings, LGBT and prisoner youth groups, intergenerational exchange talks between parents and youth, as well as community and school-based health talks. In the first year of this partnership, 5,600 youth participants received information about SRH; 3,600 participants received counseling and testing, 2,500 of which were tested for the first time; and about 2,500 participants attended youth clubs.

- **Social approaches:** Interventions that creatively add on skill-based exercises or specific content in a safe and supportive environment provide a time and space for peers to interact and speak about SRH and strategies. Social groups/clubs encourage peer-led SRH knowledge exchange between young people. In Malawi, one such youth group named Zathu brings young women and men together as brand ambassadors to use music and storytelling to engage with gender-related topics about relationships and confidence. Over one million people have engaged with this group’s messaging. Brand ambassadors have connected with more than 7,500 young people, 300 youth clubs, and 200 village heads, while Zathu’s digital music platforms have been used more than 1.02 million times.

- **Economic approaches:** Financial security reduces HIV risk behavior among AGYW. When AGYW are economically vulnerable, they may become dependent on men for financial support, which can restrict their ability to negotiate condom use, discuss fidelity, or even refuse sex. The South African Child Support Grant (CSG) is an unconditional cash transfer program for economically vulnerable children and adolescents. Studies exploring the effects of this cash transfer program on adolescents’ engagement in risky behaviors showed that the program played a vital role in reducing the likelihood of early sexual debut among female adolescents; and girls in households receiving CSG were less likely to engage in transactional and age-disparate sex, compared to their non-beneficiary peers. Cash programs are not effective in all country settings. In Malawi, a cash transfer program to keep girls in school increased school attendance, reduced risk behaviors, and lowered HIV and
herpes prevalence. The same cash transfer program did not work in South Africa. Although school attendance increased, there was no effect on STI prevalence. Educational subsidies are also costly and can become unsustainable.

4) **Reducing Gender-based violence (GBV):** GBV is a driver of HIV risk for AGYW. Globally, one in three women experiences GBV in her lifetime. Girls aged 9-14 are at a high risk of sexual violence and GBV, which makes them three times more likely to get an STI or HIV. Addressing intimate partner violence (IPV) and sexual violence to curb HIV infection includes school-based programs that address partner violence, and gender-equitable community-based interventions and attitudes. A six-week self-defense program for adolescent girls in Nairobi, Kenya schools was effective in reducing sexual assault incidences among these girls. Over half of the girls in the survey had used self-defense to prevent sexual assault within one year after the training. In the U.S., Safe Dates was a multi-component school-based program for both boys and girls offered at the beginning of their dating careers with the aim of reducing sexual and dating violence. A randomized control study assessing the program found that four years after being in the Safe Dates program, adolescents were perpetrators of significantly less sexual and dating violence, compared to their non-beneficiary counterparts. A multi-country (Chile, Brazil, Rwanda, India) intervention study to engage men in reducing GBV found that targeted education for young and adult men resulted in increased discussion about gender equality and decreased tolerance for attitudes that support IPV.

5) **Working with men, families, and communities:**

- **Male engagement:** HIV prevention for AGYW includes bringing more men into the health system. Young men have been systematically underserved in HIV services and if infected, may eventually go on and infect young women. Young men are less likely to access HIV services compared to young women. Male-friendly clinics that are a one-stop shop, have male staff, and offer a short waiting time could shift this dynamic. MSF in Cape Town, South Africa employs various strategies to encourage men to seek care. They staff a clinic with only male providers as well as convert one primary health care facility into a males-only clinic from 4pm to 8pm once per week to encourage more men to get Voluntary Counseling and Testing.

- **Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC):** As of 2017, PEPFAR has provided VMMC to 15.2 million young men in Eastern and Southern Africa. VMMC protects men and boys against HIV infection by removing the foreskin of the penis, which is highly susceptible to HIV infection. Expanding socially acceptable VMMC for boys and young men will further decrease HIV risk for all, including AGYW. In South Africa, Aurum Institute hosts a program that provides VMMC for adolescent boys.
aged 15-19 and addresses the barriers of masculinity and manhood that circumcision may propagate.\textsuperscript{60}

- **Community engagement:** Working with communities, faith-based leaders, and families to shift harmful gender norms that perpetuate violence against young girls and women increases the social acceptability of prevention interventions. In 2017, Voices for Change in Nigeria provided training to religious and traditional leaders on gender and religion topics as a strategy for improving the lives and choices for adolescent girls and young women. About two thirds of the 403 trained leaders reached over 400,000 people with their message and actions against discriminatory practices.\textsuperscript{61}

### WHAT DOES NOT WORK FOR AGYW

- **Single-session, one-off, or isolated** interventions have shown slight improvements in behavioral outcomes with little to no significant impact on biological outcomes such as HIV incidence.\textsuperscript{62} Modifying the interventions in a program during adaptation is likely to reduce the program’s effectiveness\textsuperscript{63} compared to programs that are implemented as intended in their design, approach, and delivery.\textsuperscript{64} The latter is more likely to have the desired positive impacts on young people’s health.

- **Sexual-Risk Avoidance Education (SRA)** education refers to what has been known as “abstinence-only” education.\textsuperscript{65} SRA teaches abstinence as the only form of sexual expression for adolescents\textsuperscript{66} and usually censors information about condoms and contraception as methods of preventing sexually transmitted diseases and unintended pregnancies.\textsuperscript{67} A systematic review of evidence from 13 trials that encompassed 15,940 youths found that SRA had no impact on reducing unprotected vaginal sex or number of partners, nor increasing condom use or delaying sexual initiation.\textsuperscript{68}

- **Peer education programs** alone have minimal impact. Meta-analysis evidence showed that the intervention alone moderately improved behavioral outcomes but showed no significant effect on sexually transmitted infections including HIV outcomes.\textsuperscript{69} More rigorous studies are needed to investigate the long-term effects of peer-based interventions on biological outcomes.

Siloed interventions are less likely to have sustainable HIV prevention compared to comprehensive and layered programs. Efforts should be made to improve reporting and data on which interventions are working in various contexts, including how they have been implemented and evaluated. Comprehensive and layered approaches to support HIV prevention in AGYW have been adopted by partners such as the Global Fund,\textsuperscript{70} Family Planning 2020,\textsuperscript{71} PEPFAR\textsuperscript{72} and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID).\textsuperscript{73}
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